

Possible heavy molecular states composed of a pair of excited charm-strange mesons

Bin Hu, Xiao-Lin Chen,* Zhi-Gang Luo, Peng-Zhi Huang, and Shi-Lin Zhu†
*Department of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology
and Center of High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

Peng-Fei Yu and Xiang Liu‡
School of Physical Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China
(Dated: June 8, 2010)

The P-wave charm-strange mesons $D_{s0}(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ lie below the DK and D^*K threshold respectively. They are extremely narrow because their strong decays violate the isospin symmetry. We study the possible heavy molecular states composed of a pair of excited charm strange mesons. As a byproduct, we also present the numerical results for the bottomonium-like analogue.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Pn, 12.40.Yx, 13.75.Lb, 13.66.Bc

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past seven years, the experimentally observed new charmonium or charmonium-like states include $X(3872)$, $Y(3940)$, $Z(3930)$, $X(3940)$, $Y(4325)$, $Y(4360)$, $Y(4660)$, $Z^+(4430)$, $Z^+(4050)$, $Z^+(4250)$ and $Y(4140)$ etc [1–11]. It’s difficult to accommodate all these states especially those charged ones in the conventional quark model. Many of these new states lie close to the threshold of two charmed mesons. A natural speculation is that some of them may be the molecular states composed of two charmed mesons [12–19].

In the framework of the meson exchange model, we have investigated the possible loosely bound molecular states composed of a pair of the ground state S-wave heavy mesons and a pair of S-wave and P-wave heavy mesons in Refs. [15–19]. In this work we go one step further and study the possible molecular system composed of a pair of P-wave heavy mesons in the $(0^+, 1^+)$ doublet according to the classification of the heavy quark symmetry.

The non-strange P-wave $(0^+, 1^+)$ heavy mesons are very broad with a width around several hundred MeV [20]. Instead of forming a stable molecular state, the system composed of a pair of non-strange P-wave heavy meson decays rapidly. Experimental identification of such a molecular state will be very difficult. The attractive interaction between the meson pairs may lead to a possible threshold enhancement in the production cross section.

In contrast, the P-wave charm-strange mesons $D_{s0}(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ lie below the DK and D^*K threshold respectively. They are extremely narrow because their strong decays violate the isospin symmetry. The future experimental observation of the possible heavy molecular states composed of a pair of excited charm strange mesons may be feasible if they really exist. We study the charmonium-like system composed of a pair of excited charm strange mesons in this work.

This paper is organized as follows. We review the formalism in Section II and present the results in Section III-V. The last section is a short summary.

II. FORMALISM

A. Flavor wave functions

We list the flavor wave functions of the possible molecular states composed of the P-wave $(0^+, 1^+)$ heavy doublet in Table I-II. D_0^* denotes $(D_0^{*0}, D_0^{*+}, D_{s0}^{*+})$ while D_1 denotes (D_1^0, D_1^+, D_{s0}^+) . The neutral $D_0^* - \bar{D}_1$ system with the parameter $c = \pm 1$ corresponds to the positive and negative charge parity respectively.

*Electronic address: chenxl@pku.edu.cn

†Electronic address: zhsl@pku.edu.cn

‡Electronic address: xiangliu@lzu.edu.cn

TABLE I: The flavor wave function of the $D_0^* - \bar{D}_0^*$ and $D_1 - \bar{D}_1$ system.

$D_0^* - \bar{D}_0^*$		$D_1 - \bar{D}_1$	
state	wave function	state	wave function
Φ^+	$D_0^{*+} D_0^{*0}$	Φ^{**+}	$D_1^+ D_1^0$
Φ^-	$D_0^{*-} D_0^{*0}$	Φ^{**-}	$D_1^- D_1^0$
Φ^0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D_0^{*0} \bar{D}_0^{*0} - D_0^{*+} D_0^{*-})$	Φ^{**0}	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D_1^0 \bar{D}_1^0 - D_1^+ D_1^-)$
Φ_s^0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D_0^{*0} \bar{D}_0^{*0} + D_0^{*+} D_0^{*-})$	Φ_s^{**0}	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D_1^0 \bar{D}_1^0 + D_1^+ D_1^-)$
Φ_s^+	$D_{s0}^+ \bar{D}_0^*$	Φ_s^{**+}	$D_{s1}^+ \bar{D}_1^0$
Φ_s^-	$D_{s0}^* D_0^{*0}$	Φ_s^{**-}	$D_{s1}^- D_1^0$
$\bar{\Phi}_s^0$	$D_{s0}^{*+} D_0^{*-}$	$\bar{\Phi}_s^{**0}$	$D_{s1}^+ D_1^-$
$\bar{\Phi}_s^0$	$D_{s0}^{*-} D_0^{*+}$	$\bar{\Phi}_s^{**0}$	$D_{s1}^- D_1^+$
Φ_{s1}^0	$D_{s0}^{*+} D_{s0}^{*-}$	Φ_{s1}^{**0}	$D_{s1}^+ D_{s1}^-$

TABLE II: The flavor wave function of the $D_0^* - \bar{D}_1$ system. The parameter $c = \pm 1$ for the $D_0^* - \bar{D}_1$ system with positive and negative charge parity respectively.

state	$D_0^* - D_1$
	wave function
$\Phi^{*+}/\hat{\Phi}^{*+}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D_0^{*+} \bar{D}_1^0 + c D_1^+ \bar{D}_0^{*0})$
$\Phi^{*-}/\hat{\Phi}^{*-}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D_0^{*0} \bar{D}_1^- + c D_1^0 \bar{D}_0^{*-})$
$\Phi^{*0}/\hat{\Phi}^{*0}$	$\frac{1}{2}[(D_0^{*0} \bar{D}_1^0 + c D_1^0 \bar{D}_0^{*0}) - (D_0^{*+} \bar{D}_1^- + c D_1^+ \bar{D}_0^{*-})]$
$\Phi_8^{*0}/\hat{\Phi}_8^{*0}$	$\frac{1}{2}[(D_0^{*0} \bar{D}_1^0 + c D_1^0 \bar{D}_0^{*0}) + (D_0^{*+} \bar{D}_1^- + c D_1^+ \bar{D}_0^{*-})]$
$\Phi_s^{*+}/\hat{\Phi}_s^{*+}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D_{s0}^{*+} \bar{D}_1^0 + c D_{s1}^+ \bar{D}_0^{*0})$
$\Phi_s^{*-}/\hat{\Phi}_s^{*-}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D_{s0}^{*0} \bar{D}_1^- + c D_{s1}^0 \bar{D}_0^{*-})$
$\Phi_s^{*0}/\hat{\Phi}_s^{*0}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D_{s0}^{*+} \bar{D}_1^- + c D_{s1}^+ \bar{D}_0^{*-})$
$\bar{\Phi}_s^{*0}/\hat{\Phi}_s^{*0}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D_0^{*+} \bar{D}_{s1}^- + c D_1^+ \bar{D}_{s0}^-)$
$\Phi_{s1}^{*0}/\hat{\Phi}_{s1}^{*0}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D_{s0}^{*+} \bar{D}_{s1}^- + c D_{s1}^+ \bar{D}_{s0}^-)$

B. Effective lagrangian

With the help of the heavy quark symmetry and chiral symmetry, the strong interaction between the P-wave ($0^+, 1^+$) heavy doublet reads

$$\mathcal{L} = ig' Tr[S_b \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 A_{ba}^\mu \bar{S}_a] + i\beta' Tr[S_b v^\mu (V_\mu - \rho_\mu)_{ba} \bar{S}_a] + i\lambda' Tr[S_b \sigma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}(\rho)_{ba} \bar{S}_a] + g'_\sigma Tr[S_a \sigma \bar{S}_a], \quad (1)$$

where S represents the $(0^+, 1^+)$ doublet. Its matrix representation is

$$S = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \psi)[D_1^\mu \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 - D_0^*], \quad (2)$$

$$\bar{S} = \gamma^0 S^\dagger \gamma^0. \quad (3)$$

At the leading order, the axial vector field reads

$$A_{ab}^\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^\dagger \partial^\mu \xi - \xi \partial^\mu \xi^\dagger)_{ab} = \frac{i}{f_\pi} \partial^\mu \mathcal{P}_{ab} + \dots, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\frac{2\eta}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

ρ_{ab}^μ and $F^{\mu\nu}(\rho)_{ab}$ represent the vector meson field and its strength tensor

$$\begin{aligned}\rho'_{ab}^\mu &= \frac{ig_v}{\sqrt{2}} \mathcal{V}_{ab}^\mu, \\ F^{\mu\nu}(\rho)_{ab} &= \partial^\mu \rho_{ab}^\nu - \partial^\nu \rho_{ab}^\mu + [\rho_{ab}^\mu, \rho_{ab}^\nu] \\ &= \frac{ig_v}{\sqrt{2}} (\partial^\mu \mathcal{V}^\nu - \partial^\nu \mathcal{V}^\mu)_{ab} + \dots,\end{aligned}$$

where $g_v = m_\rho/f_\pi$ with $m_\rho = 0.77$ GeV and $f_\pi = 0.132$ GeV. \mathcal{V} is the nonet vector meson matrices

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho^+ & K^{*+} \\ \rho^- & -\frac{\rho^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} & K^{*0} \\ K^{*-} & K^{*0} & \phi. \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Similarly the scalar field σ represents the scalar nonet. All the coupling constants g', β', λ' and g'_σ are real.

In our calculation we only need the effective lagrangian at the tree level

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{D_0^* D_0^* \mathcal{V}} &= \sqrt{2} g_v \beta' v^\mu (\mathcal{V}_\mu)_{ba} D_{0b}^* D_{0a}^{*\dagger}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{D_1 D_1 \mathcal{V}} &= -\sqrt{2} g_v \beta' v^\mu (\mathcal{V}_\mu)_{ba} (D_{1b} \cdot D_{1a}^\dagger) + 2\sqrt{2} i g_v \lambda' (\partial_\mu \mathcal{V}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{V}_\mu)_{ba} D_{1b}^\mu D_{1a}^{\nu\dagger}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{D_0^* D_1 \mathcal{V}} &= -\sqrt{2} g_v \lambda' (\partial_\mu \mathcal{V}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{V}_\mu)_{ba} \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\beta} v_\beta (D_{1b\alpha} D_{0a}^{*\dagger} + D_{0b}^* D_{1a\alpha}^\dagger), \\ \mathcal{L}_{D_1 D_1 \mathcal{P}} &= \frac{2ig'}{f_\pi} \partial_\mu \mathcal{P}_{ba} D_{1b\alpha} D_{1a\beta}^\dagger \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\beta\nu} v_\nu, \\ \mathcal{L}_{D_0^* D_1 \mathcal{P}} &= -\frac{2g'}{f_\pi} \partial_\mu \mathcal{P}_{ba} (D_{1b}^\mu D_{0a}^{*\dagger} + D_{0b}^* D_{1a}^{\mu\dagger}), \\ \mathcal{L}_{D_0^* D_0^* \sigma} &= 2g'_\sigma D_{0a}^* D_{0a}^{*\dagger} \sigma, \\ \mathcal{L}_{D_1 D_1 \sigma} &= -2g'_\sigma (D_{1a} \cdot D_{1a}^\dagger) \sigma.\end{aligned}$$

None of the coupling constants g', λ', g'_σ are known precisely although there exists some crude theoretical estimation [21]. We allow the parameters involved in this work to vary around the values extracted from the QCD sum rule approach (QSR).

C. Derivation of the effective potential

We follow Refs. [15, 16] to derive the effective potential of the heavy molecular system. Interested readers may consult Refs. [15, 16] for details. As usual, the monopole type form factor (FF) is introduced at every interaction vertex in order to account for the non-point-like structure effect of each interacting particle and cure the singularity of the effective potential.

$$F(q) = \frac{\Lambda^2 - m^2}{\Lambda^2 - q^2}. \quad (7)$$

Λ is the phenomenological cutoff parameter. Generally Λ is expected to be larger than the exchanged meson mass and lies around 1-3 GeV.

The effective potential in the coordinate space reads

$$\mathcal{V}(r) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{q} \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}) F(q)^2 e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^2 + m^2} \longrightarrow Y(\Lambda, m, r), \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{\mathbf{q}^2 + m^2} \longrightarrow Z(\Lambda, m, r), \quad (10)$$

$$Y(\Lambda, m, r) = \frac{1}{4\pi r} (e^{-mr} - e^{-\Lambda r}) - \frac{\xi^2}{8\pi\Lambda} e^{-\Lambda r}, \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\Lambda, m, r) &= -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r}) Y(\Lambda, m, r) \\ &= -\frac{e^{-mr} m^2}{4\pi r} - \frac{e^{-\Lambda r} \xi^2}{4\pi r} + \frac{e^{-\Lambda r} \xi^2 \Lambda}{8\pi} + \frac{e^{-\Lambda r} \Lambda^2}{4\pi r}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

with $\xi = \sqrt{\Lambda^2 - m^2}$.

We collect the meson masses in Table III.

TABLE III: The meson masses [20].

meson	mass (GeV)	meson	mass (GeV)	meson	mass (GeV)
D_0^{*0}	2.4	$D_0^{*\pm}$	2.4	$D_{s0}^{*\pm}$	2.317
D_1^0	2.42	D_1^\pm	2.42	D_{s1}^\pm	2.46
ρ^0	0.77	ρ^\pm	0.77	ω	0.782
ϕ	1.020	π^0	0.135	π^\pm	0.140
η	0.548	σ	0.66	$f_0(980)$	0.98

III. THE $D_0^* - \bar{D}_0^*$ CASE

In the $D_0^* - \bar{D}_0^*$ case, the pseudoscalar meson exchange is forbidden by parity and angular momentum conservation. Φ_s^\pm and $\Phi_s^0 (\bar{\Phi}_s^0)$ states don't exist. For $\Phi^\pm, \Phi^0, \Phi_8^0, \Phi_{s1}^0$, the effective potential reads

$$V(r)_{Total}^{\Phi^\pm, 0} = -\frac{1}{4} g_v^2 \beta'^2 [-Y(\Lambda, m_\rho, r) + Y(\Lambda, m_\omega, r)] - g_\sigma'^2 Y(\Lambda, m_\sigma, r), \quad (13)$$

$$V(r)_{Total}^{\Phi_8^0} = -\frac{1}{4} g_v^2 \beta'^2 [3Y(\Lambda, m_\rho, r) + Y(\Lambda, m_\omega, r)] - g_\sigma'^2 Y(\Lambda, m_\sigma, r), \quad (14)$$

$$V(r)_{Total}^{\Phi_{s1}^0} = -\frac{1}{2} g_v^2 \beta'^2 Y(\Lambda, m_\phi, r) - g_\sigma'^2 Y(\Lambda, m_{f_0}, r), \quad (15)$$

We use the MATSLISE package to solve Schrödinger equation with the effective potentials. We collect the variation of the binding energy E (in unit of MeV) and the root-mean-square radius r (in unit of fm) with the cutoff and the coupling constants in Table IV.

As the coupling constants increase, the attraction becomes stronger. The cutoff parameter reflects the non-point-like structure of the interacting hadrons at each vertex. Its value is the hadronic size. In this work we assume the "reasonable" cutoff should be larger than the exchanged light meson mass and be around 1-3 GeV.

Simply for comparison, we also collect the numerical results for the other possible molecular states in the same multiplet although their experimental observation may be difficult because of the broad width of the non-strange ($0^+, 1^+$) charmed mesons.

IV. THE $D_1 - \bar{D}_1$ CASE

The effective potential of the $\Phi^{**\pm}, \Phi^{**0}, \Phi_8^{**0}, \Phi_s^{**\pm}, \Phi_s^{**0}, \Phi_{s1}^{**0}$ systems reads

$$\begin{aligned} V(r)_{Total}^{\Phi^{**\pm, 0}[J]} &= -\frac{1}{4} g_v^2 \beta'^2 \mathcal{C}(J) [-Y(\Lambda, m_\rho, r) + Y(\Lambda, m_\omega, r)] - \lambda'^2 g_v^2 \mathcal{B}(J) [-Z(\Lambda, m_\rho, r) + Z(\Lambda, m_\omega, r)] \\ &\quad + \frac{g'^2}{2 f_\pi^2} \mathcal{A}(J) [-Z(\Lambda, m_\pi, r) + \frac{1}{3} Z(\Lambda, m_\eta, r)] - g_\sigma'^2 \mathcal{C}(J) Y(\Lambda, m_\sigma, r), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(r)_{Total}^{\Phi_8^{**0}[J]} &= -\frac{1}{4} g_v^2 \beta'^2 \mathcal{C}(J) [3Y(\Lambda, m_\rho, r) + Y(\Lambda, m_\omega, r)] - \lambda'^2 g_v^2 \mathcal{B}(J) [3Z(\Lambda, m_\rho, r) + Z(\Lambda, m_\omega, r)] \\ &\quad + \frac{g'^2}{2 f_\pi^2} \mathcal{A}(J) [3Z(\Lambda, m_\pi, r) + \frac{1}{3} Z(\Lambda, m_\eta, r)] - g_\sigma'^2 \mathcal{C}(J) Y(\Lambda, m_\sigma, r), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

TABLE IV: The variation of the binding energy E (in unit of MeV) and the root-mean-square radius r_{rms} (in unit of fm) with the cutoff and the coupling constants for the $D_0^* - \bar{D}_0^*$ system.

$\beta' = 0.84, g'_\sigma = 0.761$			
states	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ	-	-	-
Φ_8	1.6	-8.1	1.28
	1.7	-14.4	1.01
	1.8	-21.9	0.86
	1.9	-30.3	0.76
Φ_{s1}	-	-	-
$\beta' = 0.98, g'_\sigma = 0.761$			
states	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ	-	-	-
Φ_8	1.4	-9.2	1.23
	1.45	-14.0	1.04
	1.5	-19.6	0.92
	1.55	-25.8	0.83
Φ_{s1}	-	-	-
$\beta' = 1.12, g'_\sigma = 0.761$			
states	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ	-	-	-
Φ_8	1.25	-6.9	1.39
	1.3	-12.9	1.09
	1.35	-20.4	0.92
	1.4	-29.2	0.81
Φ_{s1}	3.0	-17.8	0.87
	3.2	-25.6	0.75
	3.4	-34.1	0.66

$$V(r)_{Total}^{\Phi_s^{**0}[J]} = -\frac{g'^2}{3f_\pi^2}\mathcal{A}(J)Z(\Lambda, m_\eta, r), \quad (18)$$

$$V(r)_{Total}^{\Phi_s^{**0}/\bar{\Phi}_s^{**0}[J]} = -\frac{g'^2}{3f_\pi^2}\mathcal{A}(J)Z(\Lambda, m_\eta, r), \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(r)_{Total}^{\Phi_{s1}^{**0}[J]} &= -\frac{1}{2}g_v^2\beta'^2\mathcal{C}(J)Y(\Lambda, m_\phi, r) - 2\lambda'^2g_v^2\mathcal{B}(J)Z(\Lambda, m_\phi, r) \\ &\quad + \frac{2g'^2}{3f_\pi^2}\mathcal{A}(J)Z(\Lambda, m_\eta, r) - g_\sigma'^2\mathcal{C}(J)Y(\Lambda, m_{f_0}, r), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $\mathcal{A}(J)$, $\mathcal{B}(J)$ and $\mathcal{C}(J)$ denote

$$\mathcal{A}(J) \equiv \sum_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4} \langle 1\lambda_1; 1\lambda_2 | J, m \rangle \langle 1\lambda_3; 1\lambda_4 | J, m \rangle \frac{1}{q^2} [\vec{\epsilon}_1^{\lambda 1} \cdot (\vec{q} \times \vec{\epsilon}_3^{\lambda 3*}) \vec{\epsilon}_2^{\lambda 2} \cdot (\vec{q} \times \vec{\epsilon}_4^{\lambda 4*})], \quad (21)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(J) \equiv \sum_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4} \langle 1\lambda_1; 1\lambda_2 | J, m \rangle \langle 1\lambda_3; 1\lambda_4 | J, m \rangle \frac{1}{q^2} [(\vec{\epsilon}_1^{\lambda 1} \cdot \vec{q})(\vec{\epsilon}_2^{\lambda 2} \cdot \vec{q})(\vec{\epsilon}_3^{\lambda 3*} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_4^{\lambda 4*}) + (c.t.s)], \quad (22)$$

$$\mathcal{C}(J) \equiv \sum_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4} \langle 1\lambda_1; 1\lambda_2 | J, m \rangle \langle 1\lambda_3; 1\lambda_4 | J, m \rangle [(\vec{\epsilon}_1^{\lambda 1} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_3^{\lambda 3*})(\vec{\epsilon}_2^{\lambda 2} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_4^{\lambda 4*})], \quad (23)$$

$\vec{\epsilon}_1, \vec{\epsilon}_2, \vec{\epsilon}_3, \vec{\epsilon}_4$ are the polarizations of the initial and final states. *c.t.s* denotes

$$\begin{aligned} c.t.s &= (\vec{\epsilon}_3^{\lambda 3*} \cdot \vec{q})(\vec{\epsilon}_4^{\lambda 4*} \cdot \vec{q})(\vec{\epsilon}_1^{\lambda 1} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_2^{\lambda 2}) - (\vec{\epsilon}_1^{\lambda 1} \cdot \vec{q})(\vec{\epsilon}_4^{\lambda 4*} \cdot \vec{q})(\vec{\epsilon}_2^{\lambda 2} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_3^{\lambda 3*}) \\ &\quad - (\vec{\epsilon}_2^{\lambda 2} \cdot \vec{q})(\vec{\epsilon}_3^{\lambda 3*} \cdot \vec{q})(\vec{\epsilon}_1^{\lambda 1} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_4^{\lambda 4*}), \end{aligned}$$

The values of $\mathcal{A}(J)$, $\mathcal{B}(J)$ and $\mathcal{C}(J)$ with different quantum numbers are listed in Table V.

TABLE V: The values of $\mathcal{A}(J)$, $\mathcal{B}(J)$ and $\mathcal{C}(J)$ with different quantum numbers.

J	$\mathcal{A}(J)$	$\mathcal{B}(J)$	$\mathcal{C}(J)$
0	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	1
1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{3}{3}$	1
2	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{2}{3}$	1

We collect the variation of the binding energy E and the root-mean-square radius r with the cutoff and the coupling constants in Tables VI-VII. With the pseudoscalar meson exchange force alone and $g' = 0.80$, there exists an isovector Φ^{**} with $J^P = 0^+$, a Φ_8^{**} state with $J^P = 2^+$, an isoscalar Φ_s^{**} state with $J^P = 0^+$ and an isoscalar Φ_{s1}^{**} state with $J^P = 2^+$. Increasing g' to 1.06, we can find the bound state solution for Φ^{**} with $J^P = 1^+$ and Φ_s^{**} with $J^P = 1^+$ besides the above mentioned bound states. With $g' = 1.32$, the above bound states still exist. We notice that corresponding cutoff Λ becomes smaller with the larger g' .

Including all the exchange meson contributions, we list the numerical results in Table VII. From Tables VI and VII we note that the pseudoscalar meson exchange potential is dominant in the total effective potential. Thus, it is reasonable to consider pseudoscalar meson exchange potential only when studying whether there exists a bound state solution for the $D_1 - \bar{D}_1$ case. Meanwhile, for the $B_1 - \bar{B}_1$ system, we list the results in Table XI.

V. THE $D_0^* - \bar{D}_1$ CASE

In the $D_0^* - \bar{D}_1$ case, there are both direct and crossed scattering channels in the derivation of the effective potential in the momentum space. In the crossed channel, the mass difference q_0 between the initial and final states (i.e., D_0^* and D_1) should be kept. We introduce

$$\mu_m = \sqrt{m^2 - q_0^2},$$

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\Lambda^2 - q_0^2},$$

where the subscript "m" denotes the exchanged meson. Accordingly,

$$F(q) = \frac{\Lambda^2 - m^2}{\Lambda^2 - q^2} = \frac{\alpha^2 - \mu^2}{\alpha^2 + \mathbf{q}^2}.$$

After the Fourier transformation

$$\frac{1}{q^2 - m^2} = \frac{1}{q_0^2 - \mathbf{q}^2 - m^2} \rightarrow -Y(\alpha, \mu, r), \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{q^2 - m^2} = \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{q_0^2 - \mathbf{q}^2 - m^2} \rightarrow -Z(\alpha, \mu, r), \quad (25)$$

the effective potential for the $\Phi^{*\pm}$, $\hat{\Phi}^{*\pm}$, Φ^{*0} , $\hat{\Phi}^{*0}$, Φ_8^{*0} , $\hat{\Phi}_8^{*0}$, $\Phi_s^{*\pm}$, $\hat{\Phi}_s^{*\pm}$, Φ_s^{*0} , $\hat{\Phi}_s^{*0}$, Φ_{s1}^{*0} , $\hat{\Phi}_{s1}^{*0}$ systems read as

$$V(r)_{Total}^{\Phi^{*\pm,0}/\hat{\Phi}^{*\pm,0}} = -\frac{1}{4}g_v^2\beta'^2[-Y(\Lambda, m_\rho, r) + Y(\Lambda, m_\omega, r)] + c\left\{\frac{2}{3}g_v^2\lambda'^2[-Z(\alpha, \mu_\rho, r) + Z(\alpha, \mu_\omega, r)] - \frac{1}{6}\frac{g'^2}{f_\pi^2}[-Z(\alpha, \mu_\pi, r) + \frac{1}{3}Z(\alpha, \mu_\eta, r)]\right\} - g_\sigma'^2Y(\Lambda, m_\sigma, r), \quad (26)$$

$$V(r)_{Total}^{\Phi_8^{*0}/\hat{\Phi}_8^{*0}} = -\frac{1}{4}g_v^2\beta'^2[3Y(\Lambda, m_\rho, r) + Y(\Lambda, m_\omega, r)] + c\left\{\frac{2}{3}g_v^2\lambda'^2[3Z(\alpha, \mu_\rho, r) + Z(\alpha, \mu_\omega, r)] - \frac{1}{6}\frac{g'^2}{f_\pi^2}[3Z(\alpha, \mu_\pi, r) + \frac{1}{3}Z(\alpha, \mu_\eta, r)]\right\} - g_\sigma'^2Y(\Lambda, m_\sigma, r), \quad (27)$$

$$V(r)_{Total}^{\Phi_s^{*\pm}/\hat{\Phi}_s^{*\pm}} = c\frac{1}{9}\frac{g'^2}{f_\pi^2}Z(\alpha, \mu_\eta, r), \quad (28)$$

$$V(r)_{Total}^{\Phi_s^{*0}, \bar{\Phi}_s^{*0}/\hat{\Phi}_s^{*0}, \hat{\Phi}_s^{*0}} = c\frac{1}{9}\frac{g'^2}{f_\pi^2}Z(\alpha, \mu_\eta, r), \quad (29)$$

$$V(r)_{Total}^{\Phi_{s1}^{*0}/\bar{\Phi}_{s1}^{*0}} = -\frac{1}{2}g_v^2\beta'^2Y(\Lambda, m_\phi, r) + c\left\{\frac{4}{3}g_v^2\lambda'^2Z(\alpha, \mu_\phi, r) - \frac{2}{9}\frac{g'^2}{f_\pi^2}Z(\alpha, \mu_\eta, r)\right\} - g_\sigma'^2Y(\Lambda, m_{f_0}, r). \quad (30)$$

The $D_0^* - \bar{D}_1$ system is very similar to the $D - \bar{D}^*$ case and is particularly interesting since $X(3872)$ is often speculated to be a $D - \bar{D}^*$ molecular candidate. The only difference is that both components in the $D_0^* - \bar{D}_1$ system are extremely narrow P-wave states. We first focus on the pseudoscalar meson exchange, which is repulsive for the Φ_{s1}^{*0} state with negative charge parity. The $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ Φ_{s1}^{*0} state appears as shown in Tables VIII-IX. By comparing the result listed in Table VIII and that in Table IX, one notices that the pseudoscalar meson exchange is dominant in the $D_0^* - \bar{D}_1$ system, which shows that it is reasonable to consider the pseudoscalar meson exchange potential only when we investigate whether there exists the bound state solution for the $D_0^* - \bar{D}_1$ system. The result for the $B_0^* - \bar{B}_1$ system corresponds to the pseudoscalar meson exchange only.

VI. CONCLUSION

Both $D_{s0}(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ lie below the DK and D^*K threshold respectively. They are extremely narrow. The possible molecular states composed of the $D_{s0}(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ may be observable experimentally if they really exist. In this work we have studied such systems carefully. As a byproduct, we collect the numerical results for the bottomonium-like analogue in the appendix.

One should be cautious that our numerical results are quite sensitive to the values of the hadronic coupling constants. The values are larger than (or around the upper bound of) those derived from the crude estimate with the light cone QCD sum rule approach [21]. Future lattice QCD simulations may help extract these coupling constants more precisely. Since the hadronic coupling constants are not known well, we allow them to vary. As shown in the numerical result, the binding energy is also sensitive to the value of the cutoff introduced in the form factor. Thus, further study and improvement of the potential model are still desirable.

Here, we need to emphasize that a monopole form factor is introduced in the numerical calculation of this work. In fact, there are many types of form factor, such as the dipole form factor. When taking the other type of the form factor, the qualitative conclusion keeps the same as that obtained in this work. Both the form factor and the cutoff are necessary and important for the hadronic system since the components are not point-like particles. They are hadrons with internal structure. When dealing with the loosely bound heavy molecular states, only the relatively soft degree of freedom is expected to play the dominant role. The exchanged soft mesons should not "see" the quark/gluon structure of the heavy meson. That's the physical meaning of the form factor and the cutoff.

So long as these couplings are big enough, there may even appear deeply bound states including radial and orbital excitations. However they are no more the "conventional" molecular states, which are loosely bound with a typical binding energy around several to several tens MeV and a radius around 1.5-3 fm. Therefore we do not list numerical results for the deeply bound cases in this work.

From our calculation there may exist two loosely bound 0^{++} charmonium-like states, the first of which is composed of the $D_{s0}\bar{D}_{s0}$ pair and lies around 4.61 GeV. The other one is around 4.9 GeV and composed of the D_{s1} and \bar{D}_{s1} pair. There exists the 2^{++} $D_{s1}\bar{D}_{s1}$ state, which lies around 4.9 GeV. The 1^{++} state around 4.75 GeV is composed of the D_{s0} and \bar{D}_{s1} pair. This state is very interesting because of its similarity to $X(3872)$.

The dominant decay modes of the above states are the open-charm modes $D_s^{(*)}\bar{D}_s^{(*)}$. The other characteristic decay modes are the hidden-charm modes $J/\psi\phi$, $\eta_c\eta'$, $\eta_c f_0(980)$, $\chi_c J\eta'$, $\chi_c J f_0(980)$, $\psi'\phi$, $\psi''\phi$, $\eta_c(2S)\eta'$, etc. for the possible $C = +$ molecular states. One may easily exhaust the possible final states according to the C/P parity and angular momentum conservation and kinematical considerations. These states may be significantly narrower than the conventional charmonium around the same mass region because of their molecular nature. However, their widths should be larger than those of $X(3872)$ due to much larger phase space and more decay modes.

These states might be produced from B or B_s decays if kinematically allowed. Those states with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}, 2^{++}$ may be produced from the two photon fusion process at the e^+e^- collider at B factories. The other possible facilities to look for them are RHIC, Tevatron and LHCb. Investigations of these states may help us understand the puzzling $X(3872)$ state.

TABLE VI: The variation of the binding energy E (in unit of MeV) and the root-mean-square radius r_{rms} (in unit of fm) with the cutoff and the coupling constant for the $D_1 - \bar{D}_1$ system when only the pseudoscalar meson exchange is considered. Here, we scan the cutoff range $\Lambda \leq 3.2$ GeV.

$g' = 0.80, \beta' = 0, \lambda' = 0, g'_\sigma = 0$									
state	$J^P = 0^+$			$J^P = 1^+$			$J^P = 2^+$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^{**}	1.9	-5.4	1.33	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.0	-10.6	0.98	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.1	-17.9	0.78	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.2	-27.9	0.65	-	-	-	-	-	-
Φ_8^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.1	-4.5	1.49
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.2	-13.6	0.93
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-28.9	0.69
Φ_s^{**}	2.7	-6.58	1.13	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.8	-18.5	0.70	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.9	-36.4	0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-
Φ_{s1}^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.7	-7.8	1.03
	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.8	-20.5	0.66
	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.9	-39.2	0.50
$g' = 1.06, \beta' = 0, \lambda' = 0, g'_\sigma = 0$									
state	$J^P = 0^+$			$J^P = 1^+$			$J^P = 2^+$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^{**}	1.1	-4.0	1.58	2.1	-3.4	1.62	-	-	-
	1.2	-9.9	1.08	2.2	-7.4	1.14	-	-	-
	1.3	-19.0	0.82	2.3	-13.3	0.88	-	-	-
	1.4	-31.9	0.67	2.4	-21.3	0.72	-	-	-
Φ_8^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.75	-3.6	1.72
	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	-8.0	1.23
	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.9	-23.6	0.81
Φ_s^{**}	1.9	-4.3	1.39	3.0	-11.7	0.86	-	-	-
	2.0	-18.9	0.71	3.1	-25.6	0.60	-	-	-
	2.1	-43.4	0.50	3.2	-44.8	0.47	-	-	-
Φ_{s1}^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.9	-5.1	1.28
	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	-20.5	0.68
	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.1	-45.7	0.49
$g' = 1.32, \beta' = 0, \lambda' = 0, g'_\sigma = 0$									
state	$J^P = 0^+$			$J^P = 1^+$			$J^P = 2^+$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^{**}	0.75	-3.0	1.86	1.35	-2.7	1.85	-	-	-
	0.85	-10.3	1.11	1.50	-10.0	1.04	-	-	-
	0.95	-22.8	0.82	1.65	-23.1	0.73	-	-	-
	1.05	-41.3	0.65	1.75	-35.9	0.61	-	-	-
Φ_8^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.65	-12.0	1.11
	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.70	-22.0	0.88
	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.75	-35.9	0.73
Φ_s^{**}	1.55	-8.4	1.03	2.25	-9.7	0.95	-	-	-
	1.60	-18.5	0.73	2.30	-16.9	0.74	-	-	-
	1.65	-32.4	0.58	2.40	-37.1	0.53	-	-	-
Φ_{s1}^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.50	-2.6	1.78
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.55	-9.3	0.98
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.60	-19.8	0.71

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Wei-Zhen Deng for useful discussions. This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 10625521, No. 10721063, No. 10705001, the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2009CB825200) and the Ministry of Education of China (FANEDD under Grants No. 200924,

TABLE VII: The variation of the binding energy E (in unit of MeV) and the root-mean-square radius r_{rms} (in unit of fm) with the cutoff and the coupling constants for the $D_1 - \bar{D}_1$ system. Here, we scan the cutoff range $\Lambda \leq 3.2$ GeV.

$g' = 0.80, \beta' = 0.84, \lambda' = 0.42, g'_\sigma = 0.761$									
state	$J^P = 0^+$			$J^P = 1^+$			$J^P = 2^+$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^{**}	1.9	-7.1	1.18	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.0	-13.0	0.91	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.1	-21.0	0.74	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.2	-31.7	0.62	-	-	-	-	-	-
Φ_8^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	-5.7	1.41
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.05	-11.6	1.06
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.1	-19.5	0.87
Φ_s^{**}	2.7	-6.58	1.13	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.8	-18.5	0.70	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.9	-36.4	0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-
Φ_{s1}^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.6	-3.2	1.70
	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.9	-10.0	1.03
	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.2	-18.8	0.79
	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.5	-28.6	0.66
$g' = 1.06, \beta' = 0.98, \lambda' = 0.49, g'_\sigma = 0.761$									
state	$J^P = 0^+$			$J^P = 1^+$			$J^P = 2^+$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^{**}	1.1	-4.1	1.57	2.1	-5.1	1.35	-	-	-
	1.2	-10.1	1.07	2.2	-9.9	1.01	-	-	-
	1.3	-19.3	0.82	2.3	-16.6	0.81	-	-	-
	1.4	-32.4	0.66	2.4	-25.5	0.67	-	-	-
Φ_8^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	-8.4	1.21
	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.85	-16.9	0.93
	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.9	-29.7	0.76
Φ_s^{**}	1.9	-4.3	1.39	2.9	-3.1	1.61	-	-	-
	1.95	-10.4	0.92	3.0	-11.7	0.86	-	-	-
	2.0	-18.9	0.71	3.1	-25.6	0.60	-	-	-
	2.05	-29.9	0.58	3.15	-34.5	0.53	-	-	-
Φ_{s1}^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.75	-3.6	1.60
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-6.9	1.20
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.9	-16.1	0.83
	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	-28.1	0.66
$g' = 1.32, \beta' = 1.12, \lambda' = 0.56, g'_\sigma = 0.761$									
state	$J^P = 0^+$			$J^P = 1^+$			$J^P = 2^+$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^{**}	0.8	-6.1	1.38	1.4	-4.9	1.42	-	-	-
	0.85	-10.3	1.12	1.5	-10.6	1.02	-	-	-
	0.9	-15.7	0.95	1.6	-19.0	0.80	-	-	-
	0.95	-22.5	0.82	1.7	-30.5	0.66	-	-	-
Φ_8^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	-55.1	0.62
	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.9	-118.0	0.48
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	-213.9	0.39
Φ_s^{**}	1.55	-8.4	1.03	2.2	-4.4	1.42	-	-	-
	1.60	-18.7	0.73	2.25	-9.7	0.95	-	-	-
	1.65	-32.4	0.58	2.3	-16.9	0.74	-	-	-
Φ_{s1}^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.45	-5.4	1.32
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.50	-12.5	0.92
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.55	-22.0	0.73
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.60	-33.7	0.62

TABLE VIII: The variation of the binding energy E (in unit of MeV) and the root-mean-square radius r_{rms} (in unit of fm) with the cutoff and the coupling constant for the $D_0^* - \bar{D}_1$ system when only the pseudoscalar meson exchange is considered.

$g' = 0.80, \beta' = 0, \lambda' = 0, g'_\sigma = 0$						
states	$c = +1$			$c = -1$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Φ_8^*	1.1	-4.4	1.51	-	-	-
	1.2	-13.4	0.94	-	-	-
	1.3	-28.6	0.69	-	-	-
	1.4	-51.3	0.55	-	-	-
Φ_{s1}^*	2.7	-5.2	1.27	-	-	-
	2.8	-16.0	0.75	-	-	-
	2.85	-23.6	0.63	-	-	-
	2.9	-32.6	0.55	-	-	-
$g' = 1.06, \beta' = 0, \lambda' = 0, g'_\sigma = 0$						
states	$c = +1$			$c = -1$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^*	-	-	-	2.2	-7.1	1.17
	-	-	-	2.3	-12.8	0.90
	-	-	-	2.4	-20.7	0.73
	-	-	-	2.5	-30.9	0.61
Φ_8^*	0.8	-8.0	1.24	-	-	-
	0.85	-14.5	0.98	-	-	-
	0.9	-23.5	0.81	-	-	-
	0.95	-35.3	0.69	-	-	-
Φ_s^*	-	-	-	3	-9.0	0.98
	-	-	-	3.05	-14.5	0.78
	-	-	-	3.1	-21.4	0.66
	-	-	-	3.15	-29.6	0.57
Φ_{s1}^*	1.9	-4.2	1.43	-	-	-
	1.95	-10.1	0.95	-	-	-
	2.0	-18.3	0.73	-	-	-
	2.05	-29.0	0.60	-	-	-
$g' = 1.32, \beta' = 0, \lambda' = 0, g'_\sigma = 0$						
states	$c = +1$			$c = -1$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^*	-	-	-	1.5	-9.7	1.06
	-	-	-	1.6	-17.7	0.82
	-	-	-	1.7	-28.6	0.67
	-	-	-	-	-	-
Φ_8^*	0.8	-54.2	0.63	-	-	-
	0.825	-65.4	0.58	-	-	-
	0.85	-77.9	0.55	-	-	-
	0.875	-92.0	0.51	-	-	-
Φ_s^*	-	-	-	2.25	-7.9	1.05
	-	-	-	2.3	-14.5	0.80
	-	-	-	2.35	-23.0	0.65
	-	-	-	2.4	-33.5	0.55
Φ_{s1}^*	1.55	-8.9	1.02	-	-	-
	1.6	-19.1	0.73	-	-	-
	1.65	-32.9	0.59	-	-	-
	1.7	-50.5	0.49	-	-	-

DPFIHE under Grants No. 20090211120029, NCET under Grants No. NCET-10-0442).

-
- [1] S. K. Choi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett **91**, 262001 (2003).
[2] S. K. Choi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett **94**, 182002 (2005).

TABLE IX: The variation of the binding energy E (in unit of MeV) and the root-mean-square radius r_{rms} (in unit of fm) with the cutoff and the coupling constants for the $D_0^* - \bar{D}_1$ system.

$$g' = 0.80, \beta' = 0.84, \lambda' = 0.42, g'_\sigma = 0.761$$

	$c = +1$			$c = -1$		
states	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Φ_8^*	1.0	-5.6	1.42	-	-	-
	1.05	-11.5	1.07	-	-	-
	1.1	-19.3	0.88	-	-	-
	1.15	-28.8	0.76	-	-	-
Φ_{s1}^*	2.7	-4.0	1.56	-	-	-
	3.0	-10.8	1.01	-	-	-
	3.3	-19.1	0.79	-	-	-
	3.6	-28.3	0.67	-	-	-

$$g' = 1.06, \beta' = 0.98, \lambda' = 0.49, g'_\sigma = 0.761$$

	$c = +1$			$c = -1$		
states	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^*	-	-	-	2.1	-4.9	1.39
	-	-	-	2.2	-9.6	1.03
	-	-	-	2.3	-16.1	0.82
	-	-	-	2.4	-24.8	0.68
Φ_8^*	0.8	-8.3	1.22	-	-	-
	0.85	-16.7	0.93	-	-	-
	0.9	-29.5	0.76	-	-	-
	0.95	-46.7	0.64	-	-	-
Φ_s^*	-	-	-	2.95	-4.7	1.33
	-	-	-	3.05	-14.5	0.78
	-	-	-	3.1	-21.4	0.66
	-	-	-	3.15	-29.6	0.57
Φ_{s1}^*	1.8	-6.1	1.29	-	-	-
	1.9	-14.6	0.88	-	-	-
	2.0	-26.0	0.69	-	-	-
	2.1	-40.0	0.58	-	-	-

$$g' = 1.32, \beta' = 1.12, \lambda' = 0.56, g'_\sigma = 0.761$$

	$c = +1$			$c = -1$		
states	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^*	-	-	-	1.5	-10.4	1.03
	-	-	-	1.6	-18.7	0.81
	-	-	-	1.7	-30.0	0.66
	-	-	-	-	-	-
Φ_8^*	0.8	-55.0	0.63	-	-	-
	0.825	-67.5	0.58	-	-	-
	0.85	-82.1	0.54	-	-	-
	0.875	-98.8	0.51	-	-	-
Φ_s^*	-	-	-	2.25	-7.9	1.05
	-	-	-	2.3	-14.5	0.80
	-	-	-	2.35	-23.0	0.65
	-	-	-	2.4	-33.5	0.55
Φ_{s1}^*	1.45	-5.1	1.37	-	-	-
	1.5	-11.9	0.95	-	-	-
	1.55	-21.1	0.75	-	-	-
	1.6	-32.5	0.63	-	-	-

- [3] B. Aubert et al., BABAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett **95**, 142001 (2005).
- [4] C. Z. Yuan et al., Belle Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett **99**, 182004 (2007).
- [5] S. Uehara et al., Phys. Rev. Lett **96**, 082003 (2006).
- [6] K. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett **98**, 082001 (2007).
- [7] B. Aubert et al., BABAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett **98**, 212001 (2007).
- [8] X. L. Wang et al., Belle Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett **99**, 142002 (2007).
- [9] S. K. Choi et al., Belle Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett **100**, 142001 (2008).

- [10] R. Mizuk et al., Belle Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 072004 (2008).
- [11] T. Aaltonen et al., CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett **102**, 242002 (2009).
- [12] E. S. Swanson, Phys. Lett. B **598**, 197 (2004); E. S. Swanson, Phys. Lett. B **588**, 189 (2004); T. Fernandez-Carames, A. Valcarce, and J. Vijande, Phys. Rev. Lett **103**, 222001 (2009); F. Close and C. Downum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 242003 (2009); F. Close, C. Downum, and C. E. Thomas, arXiv:1001.2553v1 [hep-ph].
- [13] Su Houng Lee, A. Mihara, F. Navarra, and M. Nielsen, Phys. Lett. B **661**, 28 (2008); C. Meng and K. T. Chao, arXiv:0708.4222[hep-ph]; G. J. Ding, arXiv:0711.1485[hep-ph].
- [14] N. Mahajan Phys. Lett. B **679**, 228 (2009); T. Branz, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 054019 (2009); G. J. Ding, Eur. Phys. J. C **64** 297 (2009).
- [15] X. Liu, Z. G. Luo, Y. R. Liu, Shi-Lin Zhu, Eur. Phys. J. C 61, 411 (2009), arXiv:0808.0073 [hep-ph].
- [16] Y. R. Liu, X. Liu, W. Z. Deng, Shi-Lin Zhu, Eur. Phys. J. C 56, 63 (2008), arXiv:0801.3540 [hep-ph].
- [17] X. Liu, Y.R. Liu, W. Z Deng, and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 094015 (2008).
- [18] X. Liu, Y.R. Liu, W. Z Deng, and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 034003 (2008).
- [19] X. Liu and S. L.Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 017502 (2009).
- [20] C. Amsler et al., (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B **667**, 1 (2008).
- [21] P. Z. Huang, L. Zhang, Shi-Lin Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 80, 014023 (2009).
- [22] W. A. Bardeen, E. J. Eichten, C. T. Hill, Phys. Rev. D 68, 054024 (2003); P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, R. Ferrandes, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 163, 177 (2007).

Appendix A: Possible molecular states composed of a pair of excited bottom-strange mesons

We collect the numerical results for the bottomonium-like system composed of a pair of excited bottom-strange mesons in the appendix. Since neither B_{s0} nor B_{s1} is observed experimentally, we follow Ref. [22] and use the mass values $m_{B_0}(J^P = 0^+) = 5.627$ GeV, $m_{B_1}(J^P = 1^+) = 5.674$ GeV, $m_{B_{s0}}(J^P = 0^+) = 5.718$ GeV and $m_{B_{s1}}(J^P = 1^+) = 5.765$ GeV.

TABLE X: The variation of the binding energy E (in unit of MeV) and the root-mean-square radius r_{rms} (in unit of fm) with the cutoff and the coupling constants for the $B_0^* - \bar{B}_0^*$ system.

$$\beta' = 0.84, g'_\sigma = 0.761$$

states	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ	-	-	-
Φ_8	1.15	-3.0	1.41
	1.2	-6.5	1.04
	1.25	-11.1	0.85
	1.35	-23.4	0.66
Φ_{s1}	2.2	-5.4	1.00
	2.3	-8.3	0.84
	2.4	-11.6	0.73

$$\beta' = 0.98, g'_\sigma = 0.761$$

states	Λ	E	r_{rms}
$\Phi^{\pm,0}$	-	-	-
Φ_8^0	1.11	-6.0	1.08
	1.15	-10.8	0.87
	1.19	-16.8	0.75
	1.23	-23.9	0.66
Φ_{s1}^0	1.9	-5.9	0.98
	2.0	-10.5	0.78
	2.1	-16.2	0.66
	2.2	-22.8	0.58

$$\beta' = 1.12, g'_\sigma = 0.761$$

states	Λ	E	r_{rms}
$\Phi^{\pm,0}$	-	-	-
Φ_8^0	1.05	-5.6	1.12
	1.1	-13.3	0.82
	1.15	-24.0	0.67
	1.2	-37.3	0.58
Φ_{s1}^0	1.7	-5.0	1.06
	1.75	-7.9	0.88
	1.8	-11.3	0.77
	1.85	-15.2	0.69

TABLE XI: The variation of the binding energy E (in unit of MeV) and the root-mean-square radius r_{rms} (in unit of fm) with the cutoff and the coupling constant for the $B_1 - \bar{B}_1$ system when only the pseudoscalar meson exchange is considered. The $B_1 - \bar{B}_1$ system is easier to form a bound state than the $D_1 - \bar{D}_1$ case. In this table, we only give the result for the $B_1 - \bar{B}_1$ system with the typical coupling constant $g' = 0.80, 1.06$. We scan the cutoff range $\Lambda \leq 3.1$ GeV.

$g' = 0.80, \beta' = 0, \lambda' = 0, g'_\sigma = 0$									
state	$J^P = 0^+$			$J^P = 1^+$			$J^P = 2^+$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^{**}	1.0	-6.4	0.94	1.6	-1.7	1.52	-	-	-
	1.1	-12.2	0.73	1.8	-6.8	0.84	-	-	-
	1.2	-20.3	0.60	2.0	-16.5	0.58	-	-	-
	1.3	-31.2	0.50	2.2	-32.2	0.44	-	-	-
Φ_8^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	-13.9	0.74
	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.85	-20.8	0.64
	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.9	-29.7	0.56
Φ_s^{**}	1.7	-6.2	0.81	2.45	-3.4	1.03	-	-	-
	1.8	-17.4	0.53	2.55	-9.3	0.65	-	-	-
	1.9	-34.5	0.40	2.65	-18.2	0.49	-	-	-
Φ_{s1}^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.65	-3.0	1.10
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.7	-6.7	0.78
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-18.3	0.51
$g' = 1.06, \beta' = 0, \lambda' = 0, g'_\sigma = 0$									
state	$J^P = 0^+$			$J^P = 1^+$			$J^P = 2^+$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^{**}	0.8	-18.2	0.69	1.1	-6.1	0.95	-	-	-
	0.85	-24.8	0.61	1.2	-11.2	0.74	-	-	-
	0.9	-32.8	0.55	1.3	-18.4	0.61	-	-	-
Φ_8^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	-70.2	0.44
	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.825	-81.8	0.41
	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.85	-94.7	0.39
Φ_s^{**}	1.3	-3.8	1.02	1.8	-5.0	0.88	-	-	-
	1.35	-9.5	0.70	1.9	-14.6	0.56	-	-	-
	1.4	-17.6	0.55	2.0	-29.3	0.42	-	-	-
Φ_{s1}^{**}	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-4.1	0.98
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.35	-10.0	0.68
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	-18.3	0.54

TABLE XII: The variation of the binding energy E (in unit of MeV) and the root-mean-square radius r_{rms} (in unit of fm) with the cutoff and the coupling constant for the $B_0^* - \bar{B}_1$ system when only the pseudoscalar meson exchange is considered.

$g' = 0.80, \beta' = 0, \lambda' = 0, g'_\sigma = 0$						
states	$c = +1$			$c = -1$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^*	-	-	-	1.6	-1.8	1.50
	-	-	-	1.8	-6.9	0.84
	-	-	-	2.0	-16.5	0.58
	-	-	-	2.2	-32.2	0.44
Φ_8^*	0.8	-14.3	0.74	-	-	-
	0.825	-17.6	0.68	-	-	-
	0.875	-25.6	0.59	-	-	-
	0.9	-30.3	0.56	-	-	-
Φ_s^*	-	-	-	2.45	-3.5	1.02
	-	-	-	2.55	-9.5	0.65
	-	-	-	2.65	-18.4	0.49
	-	-	-	2.75	-30.4	0.40
Φ_{s1}^*	1.65	-3.0	1.12	-	-	-
	1.75	-11.7	0.62	-	-	-
	1.8	-18.1	0.52	-	-	-
	1.85	-26.0	0.45	-	-	-
$g' = 1.06, \beta' = 0, \lambda' = 0, g'_\sigma = 0$						
states	$c = +1$			$c = -1$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^*	-	-	-	1.05	-4.4	1.09
	-	-	-	1.25	-14.8	0.66
	-	-	-	1.35	-23.2	0.56
	-	-	-	1.45	-34.2	0.48
Φ_8^*	0.8	-71.4	0.44	-	-	-
	0.825	-83.0	0.41	-	-	-
	0.85	-95.9	0.39	-	-	-
	0.875	-110.1	0.37	-	-	-
Φ_s^*	-	-	-	1.75	-2.3	1.26
	-	-	-	1.85	-9.6	0.67
	-	-	-	1.95	-21.8	0.48
	-	-	-	2.05	-39.3	0.38
Φ_{s1}^*	1.3	-4.1	0.98	-	-	-
	1.35	-9.9	0.68	-	-	-
	1.4	-18.2	0.54	-	-	-
	1.45	-29.1	0.45	-	-	-
$g' = 1.32, \beta' = 0, \lambda' = 0, g'_\sigma = 0$						
states	$c = +1$			$c = -1$		
	Λ	E	r_{rms}	Λ	E	r_{rms}
Φ^*	-	-	-	0.8	-6.9	0.96
	-	-	-	0.9	-14.2	0.73
	-	-	-	1.0	-24.9	0.58
	-	-	-	1.1	-39.5	0.49
Φ_8^*	0.8	-177.2	0.33	-	-	-
	0.81	-187.1	0.32	-	-	-
	0.82	-197.3	0.31	-	-	-
	0.83	-208.1	0.31	-	-	-
Φ_s^*	-	-	-	1.4	-4.6	0.94
	-	-	-	1.5	-9.7	0.68
	-	-	-	1.6	-25.8	0.46
	-	-	-	1.65	-36.8	0.40
Φ_{s1}^*	1.1	-3.1	1.13	-	-	-
	1.15	-10.0	0.70	-	-	-
	1.2	-20.9	0.53	-	-	-
	1.25	-35.8	0.43	-	-	-